INTRODUCTION

The Executive Council of Abu Dhabi, UAE has recently issued a circular in relation to the payment period to contractors and suppliers. The circular aims to reinforce the payment arrangements and provides commercial certainty to those providing services to the Government.

The circular calls for:

• pay contractors and suppliers within 30 days of receiving an invoice, and
• amend contracts that require contractors and suppliers to pay subcontractors within 30 days of receiving payment from government departments and state-owned entities.

It was stated by Lord Denning in 1971 presiding over Dawnays v Minter that “Cashflow is the lifeblood of the construction industry”, this is still very true today. Therefore, in commercial contracts, the timely release of payments is a key obligation and is fundamental to the successful execution of a project.

The Government not only intends to release the payments to its contractors and suppliers in 30 days but also wants to ensure that the effect of releasing payments should reach suppliers further down the supply chain. To ensure this, the Government intend to include an additional clause within existing contracts, in an agreed manner, which would obligate the main contractor or main supplier to pay to its sub-contractor or sub-supplier within 30 days too.

The Government should be cautious that the new clause is not of the “pay-when-paid” or “pay-if-paid” type of clause in the contract. The “pay-when-paid” or “pay-if-paid” type of clauses are another source of dispute in the construction industry and seen against the public policy in other jurisdictions.

Although the circular states the payment period, it does not indicate what would happen if the payment is not released in 30 days and what remedy the contractor or supplier has to address this. For example, Sub-Clause 14.8 of FIDIC Conditions of Contract for Construction, Second Edition 2017, provides a remedy for the late release of payment as follows:

“If the Contractor does not receive payment in accordance with Sub-Clause 14.7 [Payment], the Contractor shall be entitled to receive financing charges compounded monthly on the amount unpaid during the period of delay. This period shall be deemed to commence on the expiry of the time for payment specified in Sub-Clause 14.7 [Payment]...”

It is interesting to note that Article 76 and 77 of UAE Commercial Transactions Laws (Federal Law No.18 of 1993) supports that a creditor should receive financing charges where a debtor delays payment, as follows:

Article (76)
“A creditor is entitled to receive interest on a commercial loan as per the rate of interest stipulated in the contract. If such rate is not stated in the contract, it shall be calculated according to the rate of interest current in the market at the time of dealing, provided that it shall not exceed 12% until full settlement”

Article (77)
“Where the contract stipulates the rate of interest and the debtor delays payment, the delay interest shall be calculated on basis of the agreed rate until full settlement.”

Therefore, the release of payment would remain a challenge unless an automatic right to compensation, such as provided by FIDIC or UAE Commercial Transactions Laws stated above, is set out under the contract.

The release of the circular will no doubt provide a level of confidence to supply chain members involved in Government projects. It will be interesting to observe, over the coming months, how the circular will impact payments and cashflow.
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Timely release of payment is a key obligation in a construction contract. Commercial uncertainty arising from delayed payment can be addressed by incorporating clauses into a contract specifying agreed payment periods and the remedy for non-payment.
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